

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,054 10/23/2003		10/23/2003	Peter D. Costantino	PDC0510	7277	
545	7590	09/21/2006		EXAMINER		
ROGER P		OCULLA DE NICUCI	SEVERSON, RYAN J			
599 LEXIN		OCKHART NICHOI 'ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
33RD FLO	OR		3731			
NEW YOR	K, NY 10	0022-6030	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
_		10/692,054	COSTANTINO ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ryan Severson	3731					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a)[☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 25-62 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152 .				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			3.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ao in this National S	stage				
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed					
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defining copies not receive	, d.					
Attachmen	• •	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 412)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/692,054 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 25-61, drawn to a treatment device for an abnormal physiological formation, classified in class 606, subclass 153.00.
- II. Claim 62, drawn to a method of making a treatment device for an abnormal physiological formation, classified in class 264, subclass 425.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process for making the product can be used to make other products such as seat cushions. The process as claimed makes no mention of the actual shape or configuration of the final product.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. If an election of invention 1 is made, a species election must be made. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Application/Control Number: 10/692,054

Art Unit: 3731

Page 3

Species	Associated Figures

If applicant elects species 1, then applicant is further required to elect one of bowl configuration from Figures 10 and 12.

If applicant elects species 2, then applicant is further required to elect one of bowl configuration from Figures 10 and 12.

If applicant elects species 3, then applicant is further required to elect one of bowl configuration from Figures 10 and 12.

If applicant elects species 7, then applicant is further required to elect one of cross-sectional configuration from Figures 20 and 21.

 Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. Due to the complexity of this requirement, no solicitation of an oral election was made. This requirement is being sent out by mail only. Applicant should note the "Brief Description of Drawings" section contains multiple errors. Descriptions of figures 6-11 and 13 do not match the associated figures.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Severson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/692,054

Art Unit: 3731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Severson September 7, 2006

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN

Page 6